Form. H. 27—100,000—21-5-28

(1)

Home (1947) Department

Ms SERIES.

G.O. No. 4428

Dated 12-47

[Despatch Abstract.]

Suits - Civil Defence of Police Officeres - Fees to pleaders and incidental expenses - Authority Competent to sanction - Position Clarified.

GOVERNMENT OR MADRAS

ABSTRACT

****

SUITS - Civil - Defence of Police officers - Fees to pleaders and incidental expenses - Authority competent to sanction - position clarified.

HOME DEPARTMENT.

G.O.Ms.No.4428, dated 1st December

Read the following:-

From the Inspector General of Police:Rc.No.508/Bud/47, dated 26th July 1947.
From the Board of Revenue, B.P.Rt.6055 dated 16th October 1947.

O R D E R : -

The Inspector General of Police has raised the question whether it is the Collector or the Inspector General who is competent to sanction pleader's fees and incidental expenses in civil suits against police officers, whose defence at State Cost has been sanctioned by the Inspector General. Where sanction for the defence at State cost of Police officers has been accorded by the Inspector General in Civil suits under the police Standing Order 79 (3) (a), the Inspector General is the authority competent to sanction the pleader's fee and incidental expenses. Board's Standing Order 97 (2) which concerns suits relating to the Revenue Department, has no application to these cases. There is, however, nothing to preclude Collectors from making recommendations to the Inspector General of Police in the matter.

(By order of His Excellency the Governor)

O. PULLA REDDI,
Secretary to Government.

To

The Inspector General of Police.
To the Board of Revenue, Madras.
To all District Magistrates.
Copy to Revenue department.

/ True copy/

Office Assistant,
Madras Record Office.

NG.